

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/500,132 | ISEKI ET AL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | CATHERINE SIMONE | 1794 | |

All Participants:

(1) CATHERINE SIMONE

(2) Steven Yu

Date of Interview: 21 October 2009

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,3,20, 21,22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed canceling withdrawn claim 20 in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Also, discussed amending independent claims 1 and 23 to delete the recitation "one roll unit" in line 5 and remove the recitation "of the plastic film" in line 7 and insert it after "4,000 meter length" in line 8 in order to put the claims in better condition for allowance. Further, discussed amending dependent claims 3, 21 and 23 to delete the recitation "one roll unit of the"; it was agreed to make the changes to the claims by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)